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Abstract

It is necessary to expand knowledge regarding physical environment in order to establish rational guidelines for coastal areas use, thus, this research aimed to determine retrogradation rates and vulnerability of the seashore at Barra de São Miguel county to RSL rise, as well as to suggest building zone limits. Therefore, in loco environmental observations were obtained between 2016 and 2017. In order to categorize vulnerability level, the coast was divided into section A (A1, A2 e A3) and section B. Results show that approximately 57% of the coast (Section A) is threatened, presenting high vulnerability level. In contrast, section B presented low erosion vulnerability. Retrogradation indicated that even in the optimistic scenario, retreats will be high, reaching all buildings in the section A. Section B, despite high environmental preservation level, would still exhibit retreats of 15.1m. Coastal building zone limit (CBZL) demonstrated that previous results adoption would be enough to absorb future impacts generated by RSL rise. Thus, it is valid to affirm that results obtained are important to comprehend coastal environment and could be used as a guiding source to monitoring and management of the region.
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Abstract
It is necessary to expand knowledge regarding physical environment in order to establish rational guidelines for coastal areas use, thus, this research aimed to determine retrogradation rates and vulnerability of the seashore at Barra de São Miguel county to RSL rise, as well as to suggest building zone limits. Therefore, in loco environmental observations were obtained between 2016 and 2017. In order to categorize vulnerability level, the coast was divided into section A (A₁, A₂ e A₃) and section B. Results show that approximately 57% of the coast (Section A) is threatened, presenting high vulnerability level. In contrast, section B presented low erosion vulnerability. Retrogradation indicated that even in the optimistic scenario, retreats will be high, reaching all buildings in the section A. Section B, despite high environmental preservation level, would still exhibit retreats of 15.1m. Coastal building zone limit (CBZL) demonstrated that previous results adoption would be enough to absorb future impacts generated by RSL rise. Thus, it is valid to affirm that results obtained are important to comprehend coastal environment and could be used as a guiding source to monitoring and management of the region.
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1. Introduction

In the last years, there was an expressive increase in elaboration and implantation of spatial development plans, directed to the management of coastal counties. The reason for it is in the fact that, among environmental units, the coastal zone is the most likely for socio-economic activities implantation that disturb natural environment, affecting coastal and marine ecosystems balance (ASSIS, 2007).

In order to preserve environmentally protected spaces, considering its importance and fragility, it is common to adopt strategies to evaluate the territory by means of its zoning, pointed by Silva and Santos (2004) as the “identification and delimitation of environmental units within a specific physical space, concerning its vocation and fragilities, successes and conflicts”. However, it is a consensus that zonings are executed under purely qualitative criteria, according to subjectively structured models with a demand for methodological proposals that identify zones from the selection of mappable environmental attributes (ASSIS, 2007).

Authors as Bruun (1962), Schwartz (1967), Dean (1991), Pilkey (1993), Manso et al. (1995), Gruber (2002) and Manso (2012) recognize the importance that interaction between shallow shelves and beach environment represent to the knowledge and management of coastal zones, because they are essential to projects and studies involving the coastal region, such as issues related to progradation and retrogradation of beaches; artificial beach feeding projects or exploration of submerged deposits, preventing from considerable damages on the surrounding coastal environments.

Besides these factors, prediction of sea level elevation is a variable that should be considered in the prognosis of coastal line variation due to erosive processes intensified by the increase of glaciers melting and historical trend of climatic temperature elevation (MUEHE, 2004).

According to Wong et al. (2014), the Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change (IPCC, 2013) estimated a pessimist scenario for coastal regions with sea level rise, indicating elevation over 1 meter until 2100. According to this prediction, absorption zones of this impact must be established in order to help coastal management, even if this scenario might never become reality, as it is an estimate.

In this context, due to the need to increased knowledge about the physical environment to establish rational guidelines to use coastal areas, this study aimed: (i) define coastal retrogradation levels (erosive risk zones); (ii) categorize coastal vulnerability level to marine erosion; and (iii) define coastal building zone limit for the protection and maintenance of landscape esthetics.

2. STUDY AREA

The study area comprehend the coast of Barra de São Miguel county (Figure 1), located in the south of the state of Alagoas. The area comprises approximately 10 km of shoreline and surrounding shallow continental shelf, delimited by the surrounding rectangle through plane UTM coordinates: N = 8908288m
E = 180428m; and N = 8916114m, E = 187956m, located in the time zone 25, Datum SIRGAS 2000.

Figure 1 – Map of location of the study area. Source: Author.

According to KÖPPEN classification, the coast is located in a region of type AS’ climate, presenting rain during the winter, dry summer and temperatures from 20 to 25°C (ARAÚJO et al., 2006).

Regionally, the county is located on Sergipe-Alagoas Sedimentary Basin which is one of the sedimentary basins along the Brazilian coast formed during the opening of the South Atlantic Sea in the end of Jurassic and Cretaceous periods (SANTOS, 2004).

The current stage of depositional evolution of Alagoas Sub-basin is characterized by the variation of sea level and erosive agents, which enable accumulation of marine, fluvial, eolian and fluvial-lagoon sediments that compound the Quaternary coastal plain (SANTOS, 2004).

Regarding width of the continental shelf of Sergipe-Alagoas Basin, it varies from a maximum of 42 km in front of Maceió, to a minimum of 18 km in the southern region, presenting a flat profile since the beach zone until the edge of the shelf, where declivity sharply accentuates. The wide and flat feature is the result from erosive and depositional activities, intimately linked to various marine regressions and transgressions associated to periods of global glaciation and deglaciation (COUTINHO, 1976).
From a geomorphological view, two well-characterized units are defined within the study area: Coastal Trails and Coastal Plains, located within the typical modeled of dissection and accumulation, respectively. According to Santos (2004), Costal Trails represent the most characteristic geomorphological unit of the coast of Alagoas, composed by the Barreiras Formation. This morphology originates fossil cliffs, colluvial ramps, dissected tabuliform interfluves, colluvial-alluvial terraces, river valley slopes, and structural estuary slopes.

The Coastal Plain occupies approximately 9.5 km within the study area, entering towards inland for 2.5 km in direction to the fluvial-lagoon sediments surrounding Roteiro Lagoon-Estuarine System, and 1.8 km in the north/east direction of the inter-municipal boundary between Barra de São Miguel and Marechal Deodoro.

Regarding sedimentary balance along the coast, it is characterized by an erosive trend in almost the entire extension, due to the presence of active cliffs of the Barreiras Formation and Mesozoic rocks of Alagoas Basin; nearly absence of plains and Pleistocene terraces; frequent presence of alignments of beachrocks, characterizing coastal retrogradation; and the occurrence of dune-fields, in which sediments from the inner continental shelf are no longer available for coastal progradation (DOMINGUEZ, 1995).

The great morphodynamic diversity of the observed beaches is the result of interaction between various geological and climate elements on the coast, presenting different erosive (retrogradation) and/or depositional (progradation) behaviors in different regions (ARAÚJO et al., 2006).

Anthropogenic interventions and high level of occupation of the coast are responsible for environmental problems related to marine erosion affecting the region. However, marine erosion is also noticed at more urbanized regions within the coast of Alagoas, from the border with the state of Pernambuco until Barra de São Miguel County (ARAÚJO et al., 2006).

Thus, the lack of historical information about Relative Sea Level (RSL) in the study area, as well as in the state of Alagoas, reflects the great coastal vulnerability at the moment, as it is not even possible to guarantee if the erosive process observed in some parts of the coast is a local, regional or global phenomenon.

3. METHODOLOGICAL PROCEDURES

3.1 Coastal Retrogradation

Establishment of the coastal retreat or coastal retrogradation (erosive risk zone) is related to the quantification of the shoreline retreat, associated to the prognosis of average sea level rise, estimated by the Intergovernmental Panel of Climate Change (IPCC, 2013) in about 48 cm to 1 m until 2100 (Wong et al., 2014), as well as its modeling agents, such as wind, waves, granulometric composition and ocean currents.

Retreats (R) throughout the coast in the study area were defined by the equation proposed by Bruun Rule (1962), idealized for sandy beaches (Equation 1). Thus, a survey of temporal data related to sedimentology, bathymetry, oceanography, topography and climate was performed during 2017 and 2018, with observations distributed along the four annual climate seasons.
So that: Active profile length “I” was obtained measuring the distance between the maximum active profile elevation (coastline altitude “h” and depth of closure “d₁”); “s” is the sea level rise in meters; “G” represents the proportion of erosive material in the active profile, usually equals to 1; and height of the active profile “H” was defined through the equation 2:

\[ H = h + (1.75 \times d₁) \]  

Figure 2 demonstrates that a beach-profile translation for a distance “s”, due to RSL rise “a” would cause coastal retrogradation and sediments deposition along the shelf profile equivalent in thickness to the RSL rise “a”, in order to obtain a new profile balance (Figure 2).

According to Nicholls et al. (1995), the result of equation 2 represents the sum of the height of the active onshore feature (h), represented by the top of the coastal or beach ridge, or foredune, with profile closure depth for a period of 100 years (d₁,100) (Equation 3).

\[ d₁,100 = 1.75 \times d₁ \]  

The value of d₁ was obtained through Hallermeier equations (1981) (Equation 4). It is characterized from extremely significant wave heights conditions on the coastal zone, and defines the limit of the profile depth, where intense sediments transport and extreme changes in the bottom morphology still occur.

\[ d₁ = 2 \bar{H}ₚ + 11 \sigma \]
In which: “Hs” is the annual significant mean wave height (m); and “σ” represents annual standard deviation of significant waves.

In order to obtain diagnosis of temporal behavior of the depth of closure \(d_1\), calculation was made considering data for each meteorological season and data compiled to the annual period. Thus, definition of coastal retrogradation \(R\), based on potential risk evaluation using limits defined by the depth of closure \(d_1\), allowed deduction of regions within the coastal zone propitious to coastal erosion, defined by the retrogradation line predicted according to the effect of sea level rise.

3.2 Coastal Vulnerability

Physical vulnerability level reveals the fragility, resistance and susceptibility of an environment to dangers and spatial distribution of human occupation. Coastal vulnerability concept is defined as the natural fragility state of a specific coast to erosive events in short or long time scales (LINS-DE-BARROS, 2005b). Effects of different types of coastal features to a sea level rise (Table 1) depend on geomorphological and lithological features in the region, and may vary from nothing to erosion and floods according to the typology presented by Nicholls et al. (1995), which requires a specific analysis of coastal compartmentalization, considering these variables (ASSIS, 2007).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Coast</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rocky coast (high)</td>
<td>Absense of retrogradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky coast (low)</td>
<td>Absense of retrogradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erosive slopes</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandy beaches</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky beaches</td>
<td>Erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muddy coast</td>
<td>Erosion or flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low slopes</td>
<td>Flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swampy coast</td>
<td>Flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangrove</td>
<td>Flood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coral atoll</td>
<td>Flood</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


In order to categorize physical vulnerability level of the coast to marine erosion, classification suggested by Dal Cin & Simeoni (1994) was applied to the proposal defined by Nicholls et al. (1995), establishing three vulnerability levels:

a) Low: well-developed beach, without containment buildings;
b) Moderate: fragile stability, with fixation buildings in the post-beach area; and
c) High: reduced beach, without post-beach area, strong presence of coastal protection buildings and disorderly occupation.
3.3 Coastal building zone limit
Considering the lack of local legislation to define the coastal building zone limit (CBZL), this study considered guidelines established by the Law 7.661/88 (Brazil's National Coastal Management Plan) and the proposal defined by Muehe (2001), from which it is possible to establish a minimum zone for protection and esthetic view maintenance, according to the following criteria (Figure 3):

![Diagram of coastal building zone limit]

Figure 3 – Coastal building zone limit according to the coastal morphological features.
Source: Adapted from Muehe (2001).

According to Muehe (2001), reduction of minimum limits may occur when there is a progradational trend of the coastline, expressed in annual rates, or if the place is located in sheltered areas, since technically justified, without prejudice to state or municipal competence to establish more restrictive measures.

Lastly, in order to accomplish interpretation and cartographic representation of vulnerability levels of the study area, the coastline was subdivided into two sections and four subsections, according to [Anonymous, 2018], considering different coastline altitudes, active profile width variation, geology, geomorphology and anthropic occupation

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
In order to organize information regarding the impact level of coastal retrogradation, vulnerability and coastal building zone limit over the study area, results were presented and described by subsections, as follow:

4.1 Subsection A1
It is located near São Miguel and Niquim rivermouths, limited by plane UTM coordinates (8.910.176 mN, 181.296 mE) and (8.911.139, 183.198 mE), Datum SIRGAS 2000, with extension of approximately 2.55 km. Considering sea level rise scenario (S1) of 0.48 m, estimated as the most likely scenario, this subsection indicated retrogradation (R1) of 36.88 m. Compared to a pessimist overview (S2 = 1 m), retrogradation (R2)
would be of 76.88 m. Even in an optimistic scenario, retreats extension already reached existing buildings in the post-beach area (Figure 4).

![Figure 4 – Map of retrogradation of Barra de São Miguel coast – Sub-section A1. Source: Author.](image)

Presenting a coastal feature classified as sandy beach, the region will exhibit erosive process in most its extension, except for low mangrove areas that will flood. Regarding vulnerability, we can classify as high due to reduced width of the beach, high waterproofing coastal ridges levels through disorderly urbanization, and post-beach protection buildings (Figure 5).

![Figure 5 – High vulnerability area: concrete-faced rockfill beach dams for waves overtopping; High post-beach urbanization; and absence of beach during high tide. Source: Author.](image)
Delimitation of a coastal building zone limit (CBZL), in other words, a minimum protection and landscape esthetic maintenance zone, was established from distances between high water line towards the continent, indicating that the subsection is inserted in a region characterized by urbanized seafront, lagoons and coastal lagoons, and estuaries, thus, a coastal building zone limit of 50 m must be established from the beach border or from the base of the back of the foredune, when existent, towards the continent; and in the flood areas, through a contour line located at least 1 m above the area limit currently reached by syzygy’s high-tide.

By relating the optimistic scenario of retrogradation (R₁) to the coastal building zone limit, 74.46% of the building region will be impacted by the sea level rise, demonstrating coherence of legislation in demanding delimitation of coastal protection areas.

However, considering a pessimist scenario of retrogradation (R₂), the value stipulated by law (coastal building zone limit of 50 m) would not be enough to guarantee beach and post-beach preservation, because the affected area would overcome the building limit in approximately 26.88 m inside the continent.

4.2 Subsection A₂
It is limited by plane UTM coordinates (8.911.139 mN, 183.198 mE) and (8.912.353 mN, 184.056 mE), DATUM SIRGAS 2000, with approximate extension of 1.5 km.

Considering sea level rise scenario (S₁ e S₂), estimated, respectively, as more optimistic and more pessimist, A₂ indicated retrogradation R₁ of 8.5 m and R₂ of 17.73 m. Retreat extension, even in the most likely scenario (optimistic), already reached existing buildings in the post-beach region (Figure 6), although, with less amplitude compared to the effects of sea level rise over subsection A₁.
According to Nicholls et al. (1995), this coast piece will present erosive process in most its extension, except for the lower areas of mangrove located throughout Niquim River, which will suffer flood. Regarding vulnerability, we can classify it as high (predominant) to low vulnerability (in more preserved regions), due to waterproofing level of post-beach area through disorderly urbanization, well-developed beach and installation of coast ridge containment buildings (Figures 7 and 8).

Figure 6 – Map of retrogradation of Barra de São Miguel coast – Subsection A2. Source: Author.

According to Nicholls et al. (1995), this coast piece will present erosive process in most its extension, except for the lower areas of mangrove located throughout Niquim River, which will suffer flood. Regarding vulnerability, we can classify it as high (predominant) to low vulnerability (in more preserved regions), due to waterproofing level of post-beach area through disorderly urbanization, well-developed beach and installation of coast ridge containment buildings (Figures 7 and 8).

Figure 7 – Area of High Vulnerability: Containment of the coast ridge by building beach dams; High post-beach urbanization; and Well-developed beach.

Figure 8 – Area of Low Vulnerability: Preserved coast ridges and fixed by restinga vegetation; Moderate post-beach urbanization; and Well-developed beach.

Similarly to subsection A1, this subsection is inserted in a region characterized by an urbanized beachfront and flood-prone areas, so that the following measures should be applied, respectively, coastal building zone limit of 50 m from the beach border or from the base of the back of the foredune, when existent, towards the continent; and in flood-prone areas, by a contour line located to a quota of at least 1 m above the limit area currently reached by syzygy’s high tide.
Associating the optimistic scenario of retrogradation (R₁) to the coastal building limit zone, 16.75% of the building region will be impacted by the sea level rise, corroborating with legislation regarding coastal protection areas delimitation.

**4.3 Subsection A₃**

It is limited by plane UTM coordinates (8.912.353 mN, 184.056 mE) and (8.913.621 mN, 184.763 mE), DATUM SIRGAS 2000, with approximate extension of 1.5 km.

Prospect of sea level rise (S₁ and S₂) indicate retrogradation R₁ of 11.47 m and R₂ of 23.91 m. Evaluating retreats extension, even in the optimistic scenario (S₁), there would be impacts on the buildings of the post-beach region (Figure 9), with flooding in the lower inland areas of mangrove.

Different from subsections A₁ and A₂, low anthropic occupation level was verified within this part of the coast, specifically within the post-beach zone, which represents a reduction of the destructive process of the restinga vegetation, dunes destruction and waterproofing of Holocene marine terraces.

Figure 9 – Map of retrogradation of Barra de São Miguel coast – Subsection A₃. Source: Author.

Regarding vulnerability, it is classified as low (predominant) to high vulnerability, due to waterproofing level of the post-beach area by disorderly urbanization, reduced beach and post-beach containment buildings (Figure 10 [a] and [b]).
Located in a region characterized by an urbanized seafront and flood-prone areas, coastal building zone limit should be established 50 m from the beach border or from the base of the back of the foredune, when existent, by a contour line located from a quota of at least 1 m above the limit area currently reached by the syzygy’s high tide. Regarding coastal regions delimited by the coastal building zone limit, it was possible to verify, when associating this to the retrogradation scenario R₁, that 22.98% of the building zone will be impacted by the sea level rise.

4.4 Section B
It is spatially limited by plane UTM coordinates (8.913.621 mN, 184.763 mE) and (8.916.590 mN, 186.626 mE), with approximate extension of 3.5 km.
Considering sea level rise scenarios ($S_1$ and $S_2$), prospects indicate retrogradation $R_1$ of 15.1 m and $R_2$ of 31.45 m. Evaluating extension of retreats, buildings would not be impacted in both scenarios, due to the absence of significant anthropic disturbances within this coast piece (Figure 11).

Figure 11 – Map of retrogradation of Barra de São Miguel coast – Section B. Source: Author.

This subsection is located in a region characterized by non-urbanized seafront, thus, coastal building zone limit of 200 m should be established from the beach border or the base of the back of the foredune, when existent, towards the continent.

Thus, evaluating retrogradation $R_1$ impact over the area defined by the coastal building zone limit, it was possible to verify that 7.46% of the building region will be impacted by the sea level rise.

This section is classified as low vulnerability area, due to the presence of well-developed beach compartmentalization and with all sub-environments (post-beach, beach and fore-beach) preserved (Figure 12 [a] and [b]).
Lastely, considering optimistic retreats (R₁) defined by Brunn Law (1992); the response of different types of coastal features to a sea level rise (Nicholls et al., 1995); and definition of coastal building zone limit (Muehe, 2001), it was possible to establish a synthesis of retrogradation for sections A and B, observed on table 2:

Table 2 - Projection of retrogradation and vulnerability for sections A and B.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Subsection</th>
<th>Retreat of Coast Line (RCL)</th>
<th>Coastal Building Zone Limit (CBZL)</th>
<th>Impact Level (%)</th>
<th>Predominant Risk Factor to Erosion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Optimistic Extension (m)</td>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
<td>Extension (m)</td>
<td>Area (m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A₁</td>
<td>36.88</td>
<td>91,645.73</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>123,078.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A₂</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>12,618.36</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>75,330.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>A₃</td>
<td>11.47</td>
<td>16,865.27</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>73,383.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>53,446.49</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>716,564.53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Representative value of impact level over the area delimited by the coastal building zone limit, considering retrogradation established by the optimistic scenario of the SLR, 2Erosion risk (Dal Cin & Simeoni, 1994): 1 – Low vulnerability, 2 – Moderate Vulnerability and 3 – High vulnerability.

5. FINAL CONSIDERATIONS

Regarding categorization of the vulnerability level of the coast to marine erosion, it is possible to conclude that approximately 57% of the coast in the study area (section A) is threatened by the RSL rise, presenting high vulnerability rate. In contrast, section B, due to the presence of well-developed beach, without containment buildings, absence of anthropic occupation and preserved restinga vegetation, it was diagnosed with low vulnerability potential to erosion.

It was also verified that retrogradation prognosis of the coastline, based on scenarios predicted by the IPCC, indicated that even in an optimistic scenario, retreats (R₁) over the coast of the study area are increased, reaching all buildings in the section A, from 8.5 m inland subsection A₂; 11.47 m inland sub-section A₃; and until 36.88 m inland sub-section A₁. Section B, despite presenting high environmental preservation level, would exhibit retreat of 15.1 m.

Determination of the coastal building zone limit, in other words, a minimum zone for the protection and esthetic maintenance of the landscape, established from distances between the current high tide line towards the continent, demonstrated that previous adoption of coastal building zone limit results throughout the sections would be enough to absorb future impacts originated from sea level rise over the coast in the study area.
area. Thus, a fundamental aspect for coastal building zone limit fixation beyond the optimistic scenario of retrogradation is the definition of the evolutionary trend of the coast, both on a geological time scale (hundreds to thousands of years) and on the time scale of the current process (years and decades).

Regarding the perception of coastal erosion throughout the beaches, it was concluded that urbanized regions presented erosive processes in almost its entire extension, due to the intense waterproofing of the post-beach through the construction of summer houses, beach dams and bagwall-like structures, besides, the absence of beaches nearby São Miguel and Niquim rivers mouths. Thus, it can be concluded that the combination of these factors intensified the erosive process in the region, although, it is not more visible due to permanent anthropic actuation in order to contain sea rise.

Thus, it is valid to affirm that results obtained by this study are important to comprehend coastal environment of Barra de São Miguel County, and it can be used as a guiding source in coastal monitoring and management of the region. Observations should be expanded through complementary studies within time spaces of years and decades, in order to obtain spatial/temporary morphodynamic parameters to define evolutionary trends of the county.
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